

**UCLA Department of Economics**

**First Year Core Examination in  
Quantitative Methods**

**Fall 2006**

**This is a 4 hour closed book/closed notes exam.**

**Answer ALL questions in Parts I, II, and III-  
Use a separate answer book for each part.**

**Calculators and other electronic devices are not allowed.**

**GOOD LUCK!**

## Quantitative Methods Comprehensive Examination

Please answer each of the three parts in a separate bluebook. You have four hours to complete the exam. Calculators and other electronic devices are not allowed.

### Part I (based on Ec203A)

1. (10 pt.) Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be a random sample from a distribution with pdf  $f(x) = \theta x^{\theta-1}$ ,  $0 < x < 1$ , zero elsewhere. Prove that the best critical region for testing  $H_0 : \theta = 1$  against  $H_1 : \theta = 2$  takes the form

$$\left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_n) : \prod_{i=1}^n x_i \geq c \right\}$$

You will get zero credit if you simply write that it is a consequence of Neyman-Pearson.

2. (10 pt.) Prove the following statement: Given a random vector  $X$  with pdf  $f(x; \theta)$ , where  $\theta$  is a scalar, we have

$$E \left[ \left( \frac{\partial \log f(X; \theta)}{\partial \theta} \right)^2 \right] = -E \left[ \frac{\partial^2 \log f(X; \theta)}{\partial \theta^2} \right].$$

You will get zero credit if you simply say that it is a consequence of information equality. In other words, I expect you to prove the information equality.

3. (10 pt.) Suppose that  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are *i.i.d.* We know that

$$E[X_i] = \alpha\beta, \quad \text{Var}(X_i) = \alpha\beta^2$$

Construct method of moments estimator  $(\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta})$  for  $(\alpha, \beta)$ .

4. (10 pt.) Suppose that  $X_i \sim N(\mu_i, \sigma_i^2)$  are independent of each other. Prove that  $\sum_i a_i X_i \sim N(\sum_i a_i \mu_i, \sum_i a_i^2 \sigma_i^2)$ .

5. (10 pt.) Suppose that  $(\varepsilon_i, x_i, z_i)'$  are iid such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_i \\ x_i \\ z_i \end{pmatrix} \sim N \left( \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & 1 & \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

Derive the asymptotic distribution of

$$\frac{n^{-1/2} \sum_{i=1}^n z_i \varepsilon_i}{n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n z_i x_i}$$

## Part II (based on Ec203B)

1. Define the logit model. Describe the three classical tests for testing a nonlinear hypothesis on the set of unknown coefficients for this particular model. Make sure to provide all details on the construction of the test statistics.
2. Consider the non-linear regression model given by

$$y_i = g(x_i\beta_0) + \varepsilon_i$$

In the following make any additional assumptions that you need to prove your claims.

- (a) First assume that  $E(\varepsilon_i x_i) = 0$ . Consider the following statements: (a) The NLS estimator is unbiased. (b) The NLS estimator is consistent. Are they right or wrong? Prove your claims.
- (b) Next assume that  $\varepsilon_i$  and  $x_i$  are stochastically independent. Consider the following statements: (a) The NLS estimator is unbiased. (b) The NLS estimator is consistent. Are they right or wrong? Prove your claims.
- (c) Derive the asymptotic distribution of the NLS estimator in the case(s) in parts (a) and (b) above where the estimator is consistent.

### Part III (based on Ec203C)

1. Consider the Seemingly Unrelated Regression (SUR) model

$$y_{ij} = x'_{ij}\beta_j + u_{ij} \quad (i = 1, \dots, n; j = 1, \dots, J)$$

- (a) State the conditions for  $u_{ij}$  that would allow one to estimate the parameter vectors  $\beta_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, J$ , from  $J$  separate least-squares (LS) regression.
- (b) Suppose that  $J = 3$ . Also, assume that  $x_{1i}$  and  $x_{2i}$  are vectors of exogenous regressors, while for  $x_{3i}$  we have  $E[u_{3i}x_{3i}] \neq 0$ . State the condition(s) under which one will be able to obtain a consistent estimator for  $\beta_3$ . Be as precise as possible in stating your assumption(s).
- (c) Suppose now that for  $u_i = (u_{i1}, \dots, u_{iJ})'$  we have

$$u_i|x_{1i}, x_{2i}, x_{3i} \sim \text{i.i.d. } (0, \Sigma).$$

How would you obtain a feasible generalized least-squares (FGLS) estimator for  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_J$ . Justify your answers at each and every stage.

2. Consider the non-linear model given by

$$y_i = g(x_i' \beta_0) + \varepsilon_i,$$

for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Define the following moment equations:

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_1(y_i, x_i, \beta) &= (y_i - g(x_i' \beta)) \frac{\partial g(x_i' \beta)}{\partial \beta} \\ \varphi_2(y_i, x_i, \beta) &= (y_i - g(x_i' \beta)) h(x_i),\end{aligned}$$

where  $x_i$  is a  $K \times 1$  vector and  $h(\cdot)$  is a  $P \times 1$  vector-valued function, with  $P > K$ .

(a) Suppose that  $E[\varepsilon_i | x_i] = 0$ . Show that solving for  $\hat{\beta}$  from

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \varphi_1(y_i, x_i, \beta) = 0$$

is equivalent to obtaining an estimate for  $\beta$  from

$$\min_{\beta} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - g(x_i' \beta))^2.$$

(b) Under the above conditions show that

$$E[\varphi_2(y_i, x_i, \beta_0)] = 0.$$

- (c) Propose optimal GMM estimators for  $\beta_0$  based on  $\varphi_1(y_i, x_i, \beta)$  and  $\varphi_2(y_i, x_i, \beta)$ . Denote the estimators by  $\hat{\beta}_1$  and  $\hat{\beta}_2$ , respectively.
- (d) Provide the asymptotic properties for the estimators  $\hat{\beta}_2$  obtained in (c).
- (e) Which of the two estimators would you prefer  $\hat{\beta}_1$  or  $\hat{\beta}_2$ . Explain.
- (f) Determine whether or not it is possible to obtain an estimator that is more efficient than the ones obtained in (c), based only on the moment functions defined above. Provide a detailed justification for your answer.